







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/742,354	12/22/2000	Hans Sachse		8217	
26474	7590 08/12/2003				
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER		
	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W. DN, DC 20036		GHAFOORIAN, ROZ		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	ত	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/742,354	SACHSE, HANS				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roz Ghafoorian	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Solution 136(a) and the appropriate existence from the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	•				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		and a Caraba Cla	d			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
•						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant wishes the examiner to point out each limitaion: a probe tube 16 comprising a tip area and a tip having an outlet opening, a guide stylet 14 with a shape with a curved tip area where the probe tube and the guide stylet each have a flexbility such that in the absence of an external force, the shaped of the guide stylet is substantially imprated on the tip area of the probe tube when inserted therein.(figures 1-4) furthermore Bowe patent publication goes back to May 5, 1998 and the applicant's only claims proirty to 8-29-2, the applicant claims Bowe's sheath lacks an oulet however as demonstrated in figures 14-16 outer probe 100 has an outlet in which the stylet 118 exists. applicant also claims the stylet in not impared on the tip area of the probe tube when inserted therein, however as demonstrated the stylet 118 does change shape once it has exited the probe tube 100. (figures 14-16)

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700